



THE HUMANTECH CHALLENGE: A CONSUMER RESEARCH PERSPECTIVE

IV X.ITE RESEARCH STORM - 2019





AGENDA

Smart Objects Roles in Consumers' Life

Russell W. Belk Schulich School of Business, Kraft Foods Canada Chair in Marketing

X.ITE Research Report 2019

I Love Robots. The Bright Side of Human-Tech Interactions Rumen Pozharliev, Simona Romani, Patrizia Cherubino

The Dark Side of Human-Tech Relationships Simona Romani, Paolo Peverini, Francesco Ricotta

K.ITE Research Storm 2020

New Social Relationships in HumanTech Hybrid Milieu Matteo De Angelis, X.ITE Research Team

Research Storm and Future Research Directions Business Community Members and X.ITE Research Team

X.ITE Knowledge Transfer Unit – Attività e Innovazioni Marco Francesco Mazzù



HUMAN VS ROBOTS

- RESEARCH QUESTIONS
- 1) An increase in Positive Customer Experience (RSA, pleasantness, satisfaction, WOM) is expected during interaction with the human service agent compared to robot service agent.
- 2) Anxious attachment style will moderate the association between the service agent (human, robot) and Positive Customer Experience.
- IMPLICATIONS:

Psychological models of behavior can provide novel customer segmentation criteria.



THE DARK SIDE OF HUMAN-TECH RELATIONSHIPS

• **RESEARCH QUESTIONS**

- 1) Why consumers are reluctant to enter into relationships with smart objects?
- 2) What are the smart object roles that consumer anticipate and that prevent them to adopt these technologies?
- IMPLICATIONS:
- 1) Methodological: the advantage of the use of the qualitative explorative study (ZMET)
- 2) Managerial: insight about how to understand and overcome fears; insight about anthropomorphism



FROM AUGMENTED REALITY TO AUGMENTED HUMANITY



Human-Tech

HumanTech



NATURE MUST NOT WIN THE GAME BUT SHE CANNOT LOSE

- CARL JUNG: ALCHEMICAL STUDIES, 1942



Human vs Tech

SMART OBJECTS ROLES IN CONSUMERS' LIFE Prof. Russel W. Belk

Smart Home

n

Professor of Marketing @ Schulich School of Business Kraft Foods Canada Chair in Marketing

@ 💷







I Love Robots. The Bright Side of Human-Tech Interactions

Simona Romani, Rumen I. Pozharliev, Patrizia Cherubino









SMART OBJECTS AND ATTACHMENT THEORY

RESEARCH QUESTION

EXPERIMENT PROCEDURE



MANAGERIAL IMPLICATIONS







ATTACHMENT THEORY



Basso Evitamento



Alto Evitamento





RESEARCH QUESTION

Use consumer neuroscience and interpersonal attachment style to study customer experience during interaction with human compared to robot service agent.







HYPOTHESIS

H1: An increase in Positive Customer Experience (RSA, pleasantness, satisfaction, WOM) is expected during interaction with the human service agent compared to robot service agent.

 H2: Anxious attachment style will moderate the association between the service agent (human, robot) and Positive Customer Experience.



STUDY 1: N= 117 - IT





STUDY 1





STUDY 2: N= 350 - US







Video of Check-in Hotel (Umano con Voce Umana)

Video of Check-in Hotel (Robot con Voce Robotica)





STUDY 3





STUDY 3





STUDY 4: N= 191 - IT

Video of Check-in Hotel (Umano con Voce Umana)

Video of Check-in to a Hotel (Robot Aspetto Umano con Voce Umana)





STUDY 4





Psychological models of behavior can provide **novel customer segmentation** criteria.

- Anxious attached individuals (20% of the global population) experience no difference between interacting with human compared to robot service agent. Anxious attachment style could facilitate smart-object adoption.
- Individual interpersonal attachment styles and object attachment information available from online sources such as social media (e.g., Facebook, Linkedin, Twitter etc.) could generate insights useful to enhance the quality and impact of communication campaigns.





The Dark Side of Human-Tech Relationships

Simona Romani, Paolo Peverini, Francesco Ricotta





AGENDA





THEORETICAL BACKGROUND AND CONCEPTUAL FOUNDATIONS

Consumer-smart object relationships

(Novak & Hoffman, 2019; Schweitzer et al., 2019)

> Barriers to consumers' adoption of smart objects

(Mani & Chouk, 2017, 2018)

Self-disclosure

(Hatfield, 1984; Reis & Shaver, 1998)



RESEARCH QUESTION(s)

Why consumers are reluctant to enter into relationships with smart objects? What are the smart object roles that consumer anticipate and that prevent them to adopt these technologies?







METHODOLOGY: DATA COLLECTION AND DATA ANALYSIS

- Qualitative explorative study employing the Zaltman Metaphor Elicitation Technique (ZMET; Zaltman, 1995).
- 33 adult Italians, non-users of smart objects (45% female and 55% male, average age = 29.08). We produced 300 pages of interview transcripts and 402 images.
- We started with a reading of the transcript and the identification and selection for each respondent of the stories directly
 related to the theme of resistance to be in a relationship with a smart object considered as a potential partner (stories of
 resistance N= 134)
- For each of this story, at individual level, we identified the associated behavioral and psychological tendencies. Then we moved to a second level of analysis across person analysis with the goal of discovering patterns across stories and individuals that could help structure an understanding of the consumer resistance to enter in a relationship with smart objects.



CROSS CASE ANALYSIS: FINDINGS





FEAR OF BEING CONTROLLED.

SMART OBJECT AS A STALKER

«There is a shadow over me, it controls every movement and every habit. It is something oppressive»

F, 26

ANTICIPATED CHARACTERISTICS: Negative goal directed Intrusive Harming Ambivalent



ANTICIPATED BEHAVIORS: Invasive

Surveillance Intimidating Harassing Saboteur Coercive



FEAR OF BEING DOMINATED.

SMART OBJECT AS A CAPTOR.



«What really worries me is the possibility that I become a "prisoner" in my smart home when a blackout occurs»

F, 33

ANTICIPATED CHARACTERISTICS: Threatening De-humanizing Constraining



ANTICIPATED BEHAVIORS: Violent Coercive Aggressive



FEAR OF BEING SUBORDINATE.

SMART OBJECT AS A MASTER.



«I think that with this bracelet on me I will be even more controlled and a slave of things. For example, being reachable all the times»

M, 58

ANTICIPATED CHARACTERISTICS: Close Proximate Regular



ANTICIPATED BEHAVIORS: Control Giving order



FEAR OF LOSING SELF-CONTROL.

INDIVIDUAL AS AN ADDICT.



«It instills the feeling of addiction in me; using smart objects people just can't get enough of them. We should control this evolution»

ANTICIPATED SYMPTOMS:

Salience Fear of Loss Repetitive pattern Mood modification



ANTICIPATED CHARACTERICS:

Confused Depressed Anxious Isolated Heavy User

ANTICIPATED NEGATIVE EFFECTS:

Social Impairment Physical Impairment Loss of Capacities



IMPLICATIONS (I): METHODOLOGY



Why not UGC?

- Anonimity of Source
- Not homogeneus market/product coverage
- Auto-representation





IMPLICATIONS (II): UNDERSTAND AND OVERCOME FEARS

Communications

If privacy matters in your life

It should matter to the phone your life is on

Apple, 2019

NOTHING TO BE AFRAID OF.



BMW, 2019



Huawei, 2018

Product Design



HP, 2019



Amazon, 2015



IMPLICATIONS (III): IS ANTROPOMORPHISM ALWAYS GOOD?



Amazon, 2016



LG, 2017





Google, 2017





Mori, M., MacDorman, K. F., & Kageki, N. (2012).







NEW SOCIAL RELATIONSHIPS IN HUMANTECH HYBRID MILIEU X.ITE RESEARCH TEAM





RESEARCH STREAMS

PHYSICAL PRESENCE and SMART OBJECTS

SOCIAL PRESENCE and SMART OBJECTS

SOCIAL INFLUENCE and SMART OBJECTS

at the there will be a the



PHYSICAL PRESENCE & SMART OBJECTS

- Focus on people's behavioral and physiological responses to smart technologies in real customerrobot service interaction
- Use of Consumer Neuroscience, Interpersonal Attachment Style and Genotype
- Data collected in Sushi Sun, a sushi restaurant in Rome which recently replaced part of its human waiting staff with robotic staff





RESEARCH QUESTION

RQ1 Use Consumer Neuroscience (Eye Tracking, EEG, Biometrics) and Interpersonal Attachment Style to study Customer Experience (attention, emotional responses, satisfaction) during interaction with robot service agent in reallife service encounter (e.g. Sushi Sun Magliana).

RQ2 Use Consumer Neuroscience (Eye Tracking, EEG, Biometrics) and Genotype to study Customer Experience (attention, emotional responses, satisfaction) during interaction with robot service agent in real-life service encounter (e.g. Sushi Sun Magliana).







SOCIAL PRESENCE & SMART-OBJECTS

Individuals treat computers and AI-enabled objects like social entities

Nass and colleagues (Fogg & Nass, 1997; Novak & Hoffman, 2019; Nass & Brave, 2005; Nass & Moon, 2000; Reeves & Nass, 1996; Schweitzer et al., 2019)

Mere social presence can impact consumer choice

- Mere (non-interacting) social presence can be influential (Argo et al., 2005)
- Social presence makes it more likely that respondents will identify and act in socially desirable ways (Puntoni and Tavassoli, 2007; Luchs et al., 2010)
- Being observed activates impression management motives (Kristofferson et al., 2014)





RESEARCH QUESTION

RQ1 What are the effects of AI-enabled objects with social presence on consumer behavior?

RQ2 The presence of a AI-enabled device in one's home can have similar effects to being observed by other individuals? Does making the presence of a AI device salient lead to better behaviors?







SOCIAL INFLUENCE & SMART-OBJECTS

Social influence dynamics applied to human-robot interactions

- Smart speakers act as conversational agents
- Robotic word-of-mouth (rWOM): conversations happening between an individual and a smart speaker ("humanized" AI)
- Control and entertainment as rWOM motives (beyond the predominant "functional view" of human-robot interactions

Implications for marketers

- Insights into new possible ways to position smart speakers in consumers' mind
- Insights about to help shrink the psychological barriers that often characterize human-robot interactions.





RESEARCH QUESTION

RQ1 What are the motives driving individuals to engage in conversation with smart speakers?

RQ1a How is each of the motives identified likely to drive individuals to purchase a smart speaker?

RQ1b To what extent does communication messages highlighting the conversational aspect of smart speakers help offset possible privacy concerns connected with smart speakers?









THANK YOU!

X.ITE Research Team IV X.ITE RESEARCH STORM - 2019





Luiss



Knowledge Transfer Unit ATTIVITÀ E INNOVAZIONI







X.ITE Governance – Il ruolo della Knowledge Transfer Unit (KTU)



- Ricerca trasformativa e «actionable» con progettazione di nuovi protocolli, riapplicabili in diversi contesti
- Co-sperimentazione con le aziende di nuovi modelli e teorie sviluppate dalla consumer research
- Generazione di insight multimetodo e/o con sperimentazione di tecniche innovative
- Analisi e studi "istituzionali" su consumer reaction agli investimenti di marketing, all'utilizzo delle tecnologie e su comportamenti competitivi in prospettiva di consumer impact



Progetti e innovazioni di ricerca applicata - 2019



BrandTelling

Processo strategico di brand content management basato su approccio multi-metodo



«Why they don't buy»

Approccio multi-metodo su miglioramento conversion rate



Bias-driven product launch strategy

Approccio al lancio guidato dal consumer bias measurement and management



Applied consumer research – Food

Progetto istituzionale su consumer reaction a introduzione di nuove «policy» pubbliche



Customer observatory, customer adoption -

Protocollo multi-metodo di screening rapido su nuovi prodotti high-tech

Text mining implicit scenario building

Generazione di insight per definizione direzioni di sviluppo «implicite»

Benchmarking multi-metodo

Progetto istituzionale di definizione fair pricing di servizi



Price dominance e dumping

Progetto di consumer insight per market design/redesign



Temi e protocolli in corso di sviluppo

- Aree di interazione fra tecnologie e consumer behavior
- Consumer bias measurement e management
- Applied consumer neuroscience
- Machine-consumer learning





THANK YOU!

X.ITE Research Team IV X.ITE RESEARCH STORM - 2019



